### **2007 Annual Report**

### Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area



Pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)

JUNE 30, 2008



Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6301

Phone: (312) 879-2000 www.ey.com

June 30, 2008

Mr. Arnold L. Randall Commissioner Department of Planning and Development 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602

#### Dear Commissioner:

Enclosed is the annual report for the Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area, which we compiled at the direction of the Department of Planning and Development pursuant to Section 5(d) of the Illinois Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 et seq.), as amended. The contents are based on information provided to us by Chicago Departments of Planning and Development, Finance, and Law. We have not audited, verified, or applied agreed upon accounting and testing procedures to the data contained in this report. Therefore, we express no opinion on its accuracy or completeness.

It has been a pleasure to work with representatives from the Department of Planning and Development and other City Departments.

Very truly yours,

Ernst & Young LLP

Ernst + Young LLP

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ANNUAL REPORT – ELSTON/ARMSTRONG INDUSTRIAL CORRIDOR REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA IN COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION (d) OF 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5.

		PAGE
LETTER TO STATE COMPTROLLER		
1)	DATE OF DESIGNATION OR TERMINATION	2
2)	AUDITED FINANCIALS	3
3)	MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION	4
4)	OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL	5
5)	ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND	6
6)	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	7
7)	STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES	8
8)	DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY	12
9)	ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE	13
10)	CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORT	14
11)	GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP	15



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Planning and Development

Arnold L. Randall Commissioner

City Hall, Room 1000 121 North LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60602 312 744-4190 312 744-2271 (FAX) 312 744-2578 (TTY)

http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2008

The Honorable Daniel Hynes Comptroller State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 201 Capitol Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Comptroller Hynes:

amill Kanlal

We have compiled the attached information for the Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area (Report) pursuant to 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d).

Sincerely,

Arnold L. Randall Commissioner





#### (1) DATE OF DESIGNATION AND TERMINATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(1.5)

The Project Area was designated on July 19, 2007. The Project Area may be terminated no later than December 31, 2031.

#### (2) AUDITED FINANCIALS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(2)

During 2007, no financial activity or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 occurred in the Project Area. Therefore, no audited statements were prepared pertaining to the Special Tax Allocation Fund for the Project Area.

(3) MAYOR'S CERTIFICATION - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(3)

Please see attached.

STATE OF ILLINOIS	)
	) SS
COUNTY OF COOK	)

#### CERTIFICATION

TO:

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

I, RICHARD M. DALEY, in connection with the annual report (the "Report") of information required by Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS5/11-74.4-1 et seq, (the "Act") with regard to the Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area"), do hereby certify as follows:

- 1. I am the duly qualified and acting Mayor of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City") and, as such, I am the City's Chief Executive Officer. This Certification is being given by me in such capacity.
- 2. During the preceding fiscal year of the City, being January 1 through December 31, 2007, the City complied, in all material respects, with the requirements of the Act, as applicable from time to time, regarding the Redevelopment Project Area.
- 3. In giving this Certification, I have relied on the opinion of the Corporation Counsel of the City furnished in connection with the Report.
  - 4. This Certification may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my official signature as of this 30th day of June, 2008.

Richard M. Daley, Mayor City of Chicago, Illinois

(4) OPINION OF LEGAL COUNSEL - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(4)

Please see attached.



City of Chicago Richard M. Daley, Mayor

Department of Law

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

City Hall, Room 600
121 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 744-0200
(312) 744-8538 (FAX)
(312) 744-2963 (TTY)
http://www.cityofchicago.org

June 30, 2008

Daniel W. Hynes Comptroller of the State of Illinois James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 15-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Attention: June Tallamantez, Director of Local Government

Dolores Javier, Treasurer City Colleges of Chicago 226 West Jackson Boulevard, Room 1125 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Peter C. Nicholson, Director Cook County Department of Planning & Development 69 West Washington Street, Room 2900 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dan Donovan, Comptroller Forest Preserve District of Cook County 69 W. Washington Street, Suite 2060 Chicago, IL 60602

Martin Koldyke, Chairman Chicago School Finance Authority 135 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3800 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Timothy Mitchell, General Superintendent & CEO Chicago Park District 541 North Fairbanks Chicago, Illinois 60611

Arne Duncan, Chief Executive Officer Chicago Board of Education 125 South Clark Street, 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603

Jacqueline Torres, Director of Finance Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago 100 East Erie Street, Room 2429 Chicago, Illinois 60611

Douglas Wright
South Cook County Mosquito Abatement
District
155th & Dixie Highway
P.O. Box 1030
Harvey, Illinois 60426

Re: Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area (the "Redevelopment Project Area")

#### Dear Addressees:

I am Corporation Counsel of the City of Chicago, Illinois (the "City"). In such capacity, I am providing the opinion required by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(4) of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 et seq. (the "Act"), in connection with the submission of the report (the "Report") in accordance with, and containing the information required by, Section 11-74.4-5(d) of the Act for the Redevelopment Project Area.





Attorneys, past and present, in the Law Department of the City familiar with the requirements of the Act have had general involvement in the proceedings affecting the Redevelopment Project Area, including the preparation of ordinances adopted by the City Council of the City with respect to the following matters: approval of the redevelopment plan and project for the Redevelopment Project Area, designation of the Redevelopment Project Area as a redevelopment project area and adoption of tax increment allocation financing for the Redevelopment Project Area, all in accordance with the then applicable provisions of the Act. Various departments of the City, including, if applicable, the Law Department, Department of Planning and Development, Department of Housing, Department of Finance and Office of Budget and Management, have personnel responsible for and familiar with the activities in the Redevelopment Project Area affecting such Department(s) and with the requirements of the Act in connection therewith. Such personnel are encouraged to seek and obtain, and do seek and obtain, the legal guidance of the Law Department with respect to issues that may arise from time to time regarding the requirements of, and compliance with, the Act.

In my capacity as Corporation Counsel, I have relied on the general knowledge and actions of the appropriately designated and trained staff of the Law Department and other applicable City Departments involved with the activities affecting the Redevelopment Project Area. In addition, I have caused to be examined or reviewed by members of the Law Department of the City the certified audit report, to the extent required to be obtained by Section 11-74.4-5(d)(9) of the Act and submitted as part of the Report, which is required to review compliance with the Act in certain respects, to determine if such audit report contains information that might affect my opinion. I have also caused to be examined or reviewed such other documents and records as were deemed necessary to enable me to render this opinion. Nothing has come to my attention that would result in my need to qualify the opinion hereinafter expressed, subject to the limitations hereinafter set forth, unless and except to the extent set forth in an Exception Schedule attached hereto as Schedule 1.

Based on the foregoing, I am of the opinion that, in all material respects, the City is in compliance with the provisions and requirements of the Act in effect and then applicable at the time actions were taken from time to time with respect to the Redevelopment Project Area.

This opinion is given in an official capacity and not personally and no personal liability shall derive herefrom. Furthermore, the only opinion that is expressed is the opinion specifically set forth herein, and no opinion is implied or should be inferred as to any other matter. Further, this opinion may be relied upon only by the addressees hereof and the Mayor of the City in providing his required certification in connection with the Report, and not by any other party.

Very truly yours,

Mara S. Georges Corporation Counsel

#### **SCHEDULE 1**

(Exception Schedule)

- (X) No Exceptions
- ( ) Note the following Exceptions:

#### (5) ANALYSIS OF SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(5)

During 2007, there was no financial activity in the Special Tax Allocation Fund.

#### (6) **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(6)**

During 2007, the City did not purchase any property in the Project Area.

#### (7) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)

- (A) Projects implemented in the preceding fiscal year.
- **(B)** A description of the redevelopment activities undertaken.
- **(C)** Agreements entered into by the City with regard to disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.
- **(D)** Additional information on the use of all Funds received by the Project Area and steps taken by the City to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan.
- (E) Information on contracts that the City's consultants have entered into with parties that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.
- **(F)** Joint Review Board reports submitted to the City.
- (G) Project-by-project review of public and private investment undertaken from 11/1/99 to 12/31/07, and of such investments expected to be undertaken in year 2008; also, a project-by-project ratio of private investment to public investment from 11/1/99 to 12/31/07, and an estimated ratio of such investments as of the completion of each project and as estimated to the completion of the redevelopment project.

SEE TABLES AND/OR DISCUSSIONS ON FOLLOWING PAGES.

#### (7)(A) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(A)

During 2007, no projects were implemented.

#### (7)(B) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(B)

Redevelopment activities undertaken within this Project Area during the year 2007, if any, have been made pursuant to i) the Redevelopment Plan for the Project Area, and ii) any Redevelopment Agreements affecting the Project Area, and are set forth on Table 5 herein by TIF-eligible expenditure category.

#### (7)(C) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(C)

During 2007, no agreements were entered into with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the Project Area.

#### (7)(D) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(D)

The Project Area has not yet received any increment.

#### (7)(E) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(E)

During 2007, no contracts were entered into by the City's tax increment advisors or consultants with entities or persons that have received, or are receiving, payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the Project Area.

#### (7)(F) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(F)

Joint Review Board Reports were submitted to the City. See attached.

#### (7)(G) - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(7)(G)

Since November 1, 1999, no public investment was undertaken in the Project Area. As of December 31, 2007, no public investment is estimated to be undertaken for 2008.

### CITY OF CHICAGO JOINT REVIEW BOARD

Report of proceedings of a hearing before the City of Chicago, Joint Review Board held on April 6, 2007, at 10:00 a.m., City Hall, Room 703, Conference Room, Chicago, Illinois, and presided over by Mr. Eric Reese.

#### PRESENT:

MR. ERIC REESE, CHAIRMAN

MR. JOHN McCORMICK

MR. DION SMITH

MS. JOANNA TROTTER

MS. JACKIE HARDER

MS. IRMA CURIEL

REPORTED BY: Accurate Reporting Service

200 N. LaSalle Street

Chicago, Illinois

By: Jack Artstein, C.S.R.

```
Joint Review Board finds the proposed
```

- 2 Pershing/King Tax Increment Financing
- 3 Redevelopment project area satisfies the
- 4 Redevelopment Plan Requirements under the
- 5 TIF Act, and the Eligibility Criteria
- 6 defined by Section 11-74.4-3 of the TIF
- 7 Act, and the Objectives of the TIF Act, and
- 8 based on such findings approve such proposed
- 9 plan.
- MR. McCORMICK: So moved.
- MR. REESE: All in favor?
- MR. SMITH: Second.
- MR. REESE: Oh, second. Thank you.
- (Chorus of ayes.)
- MR. REESE: Thank you. Let the
- 16 record reflect the Joint Review Board's
- 17 approval of the proposed Pershing TIF Act and
- 18 TIF. Yes, it's been approved.
- MR. SAWYER: Thank you.
- 20 (Whereupon the above meeting was
- 21 adjourned.)
- MR. REESE: For the record, my name
- is Eric Reese, and I'm the representative of
- the Chicago Park District, which under

```
1 Section 11-74.4-5 of the Tax Increment
```

- 2 Allocation Redevelopment Act, as one of the
- 3 statutory designated members of the Joint
- 4 Review Board. Until a election of a
- 5 Chairperson, I'll moderate the Joint Review
- 6 Board Meeting.
- 7 For the record, this is a meeting
- 8 to review the proposed Elston Tax Increment
- 9 Financing District. The date of this meeting
- was announced at and set by the Community
- 11 Development Commission of the City of
- 12 Chicago at its meeting on March 13<sup>th</sup>, 2007.
- Notice of this meeting went,
- notice of the meeting was also provided by
- 15 Certified Mail to each taxing district
- 16 represented on the Board which includes the
- 17 Chicago Board of Ed., City, Chicago
- 18 Community Colleges District 508, Chicago
- 19 Park District, Cook County, and the City of
- 20 Chicago.
- Public notice of this meeting was
- also posted as of Wednesday, April 4, 2007 in
- various locations throughout City Hall.
- When a proposed redevelopment

```
1 plan would result in the displacement of
```

- 2 residents from 10 or more inhabited
- 3 residential units, or would include 75 or
- 4 more inhabited residential units, the TIF
- 5 Act requires a Public Member of the Joint
- 6 Review Board which must reside within the
- 7 proposed redevelopment project area.
- In addition, if the Municipality
- 9 Housing Impact Study determines that the
- 10 majority of residential units in the
- 11 proposed redevelopment project area are
- occupied by very low, low, or moderate income
- households, as defined by Section 3 of the
- 14 Illinois Affordable Housing Act, the Public
- Member must be a person who resides in a very
- low, low, or moderate income housing.
- However, through a very earnest
- search, we were unable to find someone, so
- Denise Roman is going to be -- into the
- 20 record to reflect the action.
- MS. ROMAN: For the record, my name
- is Denise Roman with the Department of
- 23 Planning and Development with the
- Neighborhood's Division.

```
1 At the beginning of March, the
```

- 2 Department of Planning reached our Alderman
- 3 Levar's office and asked for assistance in
- 4 identifying the resident to the Joint Review
- 5 Board.
- 6 Typically, the Department works
- 7 for the Alderman's office. Whenever there's
- 8 a resident you need for input or for the
- 9 Joint Review Board, the Alderman's office
- was unable to identify someone, and asked the
- 11 Department of Planning to identify a
- 12 resident last week.
- In an effort to identify a
- 14 resident, I obtained a copy of the public
- meeting sign-in sheet from November 20<sup>th</sup>,
- 16 2006. I reached out to every resident that
- 17 lived within the boundaries, becausea many
- 18 people that attended the meeting that did not
- 19 live in the boundaries, and I asked them to
- 20 be a representative for the Joint Review
- 21 Board.
- Some of the people I left
- messages for that did not call me back. I
- talked to several individuals, but they

```
declined to serve because they were either
```

- working, or it was Good Friday, or they
- 3 weren't interested, or they had some family
- 4 issues, that they couldn't attend.
- I also called a member of the
- 6 local school council who lives a block
- outside of the TIF, and asked her to help me
- 8 identify someone, but it's Spring break, so
- 9 I, didn't receive a call back from her. I
- think she may be on vacation.
- I printed out Real Info, which is
- 12 a data base containing all of the owner
- 13 record information, and I called every
- individual who had a listed number on Lotus,
- 15 Linder and Luna, and I did speak with
- individuals from each block, but they
- declined to serve for the reasons that I
- 18 stated before.
- I reached out to the Alderman's
- office a second time asking for a precinct
- 21 caption that could help me identify a
- resident, so that I just wasn't doing cold
- calling and calling saying I'm from the City,
- can you come to our meeting, and it just, I

don't think I would have returned that call

- 2 if I didn't work for the City.
- So, again, I tried to reach out
- 4 to a Precinct Captain that was not available,
- 5 to a local school council member, to a Real
- 6 Info, which is a data base of county owner
- 7 record information, and through this sign-in
- 8 sheet, and I was unable to identify someone.
- 9 Another thing I was going to try
- 10 was to reach out to the principal at
- 11 Farnsworth, but it's Spring break this week,
- 12 so I did not reach her as well as far the
- 13 school is in the boundaries.
- So, we did try, try to find a
- 15 resident, but we were unable to.
- 16 MR. REESE: Seeing that I'm, her
- 17 efforts were, was unable to, I suggest we
- 18 move forward and, and I quess our next order
- 19 of business will be to --
- MS. WORTHY: We do have a Public
- 21 Member.
- MR. REESE: We do?
- MS. WORTHY: Yes, we have a Public
- Member who does not reside in the district.

- 1 It is a representative from the group that
- 2 serves as a Public Member when we don't
- 3 require a Public Member from the group, that
- 4 we have a representative of the Metropolitan
- 5 Siting Council here, who will act as the
- 6 Public Member.
- 7 MS. TROTTER: I'm Joanna Trotter on
- 8 behalf of --
- 9 MR. REESE: Thank you. Our next
- order of business is to select a Chairperson
- for the Joint Review Board. Are there any
- 12 nominations.
- MR. McCORMICK: I recommend Eric
- 14 Reese.
- MR. SMITH: Second.
- MR. REESE: Thank you very much.
- 17 All in favor?
- 18 (Chorus of ayes.)
- MR. REESE: Let the record reflect
- that myself, Eric Reese, has been elected as
- 21 Chairperson and will now serve as
- 22 Chairperson for the remainder of the
- 23 meeting.
- As I mentioned, at this meeting

```
we will be reviewing a plan for the proposed
```

- 2 Elston/Armstrong Tax Increment Financing
- 3 District proposed by the City of Chicago.
- 4 Staff of the City's Department of
- 5 Planning and Development, and Law, and other
- 6 departments have reviewed the Plan Amendment
- 7 which was introduced by the City's Community
- 8 Development Commission on March 13<sup>th</sup>, 2007.
- 9 We will listen to a presentation
- 10 by the consultant on the plan. Following the
- 11 presentation, we can address any questions
- 12 that the members might have for the
- 13 consultant or City Staff.
- An amendment to the TIF Act
- requires us to base our recommendation to
- 16 approve or disapprove the proposed
- 17 Elston/Armstrong Tax Increment Financing
- 18 District on the basis of the area and the
- 19 plan satisfying the Plan Requirements, the
- 20 Eligibility Criteria defined in the TIF Act,
- and the Objectives of the TIF Act.
- 22 If the Board approves the Plan
- Amendment, the Board will then issue an
- 24 advisory non-binding recommendation by the

vote of the majority of those members present

- 2 and voting.
- 3 Such recommendation shall be
- 4 submitted to the City within 30 days after
- 5 the Board Meeting. Failure to submit such a
- 6 recommendation shall be deemed to constitute
- 7 approval by the Board.
- 8 If the Board disapproves the Plan
- 9 Amendment, the Board must issue a written
- 10 report describing why the plan and the area
- 11 failed to meet one or more of the objectives
- of the TIF Act in both the Plan Requirements
- and the Eligibility Criteria of the TIF Act.
- The City then will have 30 days
- to resubmit a revised plan. The Board and
- 16 the City must also confer during this time to
- 17 try and resolve the issues that have led to
- 18 the Board's disapproval.
- 19 If such issues cannot be
- 20 resolved, or if the revised plan is
- 21 disapproved, the City may proceed with the
- 22 plan. The plan then can only be approved by
- three-fifths vote of the City Council,
- 24 excluding positions of members that are

```
1 vacant, and those members that are
```

- ineligible to vote because of conflict of
- 3 interest.
- 4 We will now have a presentation
- 5 by Ernest R. Sawyer Enterprises.
- 6 MR. SAWYER: -- Armstrong TIF
- 7 District -- boundaries is the heart's
- 8 lifeline.
- 9 The district primarily runs
- 10 along Elston Avenue all the way out to
- generally, let's see, Central Street to the
- west, coming back to Laramie east, and here
- 13 along the boundaries of the south -- which is
- 14 Central, right.
- And the purpose of our study for
- this TIF is, is located six miles northwest
- of downtown Chicago, and there are
- 18 approximately 18 businesses in the
- 19 corridor.
- The City wants to maintain this
- 21 corridor for industrial use and would like to
- 22 assist industrial manufacturers in the area
- to modernize existing facilities which are
- located in this corridor, and also one of the

```
1 programs planned in the plan is to provide
```

- 2 some improvements for this particular school
- 3 playground area which has limited use
- 4 because of the, as you understand this all,
- 5 they do not have a turf ground playground.
- 6 It's all concrete, and they're trying to
- 7 provide some support for this particular
- 8 area as well.
- And we have performed a field
- 10 study and evaluated all the parcels in this
- 11 area, and we find that the recommendation is
- 12 from our study is to provide and maintain
- this as a conservation TIF in terms of
- 14 conserving existing properties in the area
- providing support -- within the boundaries
- of the area.
- 17 The primary uses with respect
- 18 along the corridor of the Elston Street
- 19 corridor. And also there had been some
- 20 discussions, again, with some of the
- 21 residential properties within the areas
- 22 which is -- through here. Some of those
- areas came to the meeting requesting that
- they be provided with assistance for the

```
1 TIF/NIF program in terms of maintaining,
```

- 2 improving some of the residents in the area.
- We find that there's, you know,
- 4 facade work, garages, porches needed
- 5 repairs, and some of the findings that we
- 6 inspected the area to reduce some of the
- 7 blighting factors that occur through age and
- 8 time to the residents in the area.
- So, we do find this area, based
- 10 upon the qualifying factors that we find that
- it does qualify as outlined in your plan for
- 12 tax increment financing funding.
- MR. McCORMICK: You've got Jefferson
- 14 Park TIF District here. Is that contiguous
- 15 to it?
- MS. ROMAN: No, it's not.
- MR. McCORMICK: No. Okay. Are
- there any contiguous TIFs?
- MS. ROMAN: No, there's not.
- MR. McCORMICK: Okay.
- MR. McCORMICK: The, you've got the
- one park. What are the other institutional?
- You've got the one, I can see where you've
- got the one park. The one --

```
1 MS. ROMAN: -- is the only --
```

- MR. MAULDIN: This is the school
- 3 here. The school takes up half of it, and
- 4 the lots on the other half --
- 5 MR. McCORMICK: Okay.
- 6 MR. MAULDIN: And this is CTA --
- 7 MR. McCORMICK: I see.
- MR. SAWYER: CTA --
- 9 MR. McCORMICK: The one --
- MS. ROMAN: The State of
- 11 Illinois --
- MR. SAWYER: State of Illinois is
- 13 right here.
- MR. McCORMICK: Is that the
- 15 driving --
- MS. ROMAN: Yes.
- MR. SAWYER: That's right, motor
- 18 vehicle.
- MR. McCORMICK: -- that's a long
- time ago. So there, okay. Yeah, I see the
- 21 play lot, or park, is over by here, so
- 22 that's --
- MR. SAWYER: Right, within the
- 24 neighborhood.

```
1 MR. McCORMICK: Okay.
```

- MR. MAULDIN: Half of this is lot,
- 3 other half is school.
- 4 MR. McCORMICK: Yeah, okay. Fine.
- 5 MS. ROMAN: The reason why -- is
- 6 included was because plans were to have the
- 7 population, 20 percent of the population,
- 8 have an identifiable disability, either
- 9 visually impaired, hearing impaired,
- 10 hearing, autistic --
- MR. McCORMICK: Yeah, no. I think
- 12 it's, I think --
- MS. ROMAN: So it's included to
- 14 provide some interior --
- MR. McCORMICK: Yeah, I think --
- MS. ROMAN: The school wants to do
- -- playground so that's accessible to
- 18 handicapped --
- MR. McCORMICK: Sure. No, I think
- we've followed City code where schools and
- 21 Park District property are boarder, or
- 22 something including the, you know --
- MS. ROMAN: Right now, children in
- wheelchairs, and blind children, cannot

- 1 access the --
- MR. McCORMICK: Yeah.
- MS. ROMAN: -- outside of the school
- 4 at all, so they want to create an environment
- on the outside of the school as it is inside
- 6 the school --
- 7 MR. McCORMICK: Yeah, okay. Some
- 8 talks awhile back with the Board of Ed. about
- 9 accessibility and things like that looking
- into funding outside, so.
- MS. ROMAN: And the primary
- 12 boundaries are with the industrial
- 13 corridor --
- MR. McCORMICK: Yeah.
- MS. ROMAN: -- and the Department
- wants to work to maintain as many jobs in the
- 17 corridor and assist those companies in
- 18 improving existing facilities, expanding
- 19 facilities doing TIF work throughout the
- 20 corridor, and then extending that TIF back to
- 21 small businesses and residents.
- MR. McCORMICK: Yeah, I mean I'd
- like to see that any TIF works when we set it
- up is geared for something like this, and in

```
1 some of the areas where the money was
```

- allocated, it hasn't taken off, you know,
- 3 like they expected, so I don't think there's
- 4 any restriction on reallocating, you know,
- 5 if they've got something like this that looks
- 6 like it would be a better fit than, or some
- 7 of the stuff that was going --
- 8 MS. TROTTER: Can you explain what
- 9 TIF works is?
- 10 MR. McCORMICK: It's job training
- 11 money that was allocated in certain TIF
- 12 districts for upgrading skills I think was
- 13 part of it in the sense where you could
- 14 upgrade some of the skills of workers. It
- was done with, -- was the one who pushed it,
- and thus creating new employees coming in.
- Now, the thing was that certain
- 18 TIF money, it was done, my only concern here
- 19 is that it's not continuous and there's not
- 20 really any TIF money there, you know, right
- up front, so you have to find the means of,
- you know, finding the financing for that.
- You just can't pull it out anywhere, so I
- mean that's somewhere down the road.

```
1 MS. ROMAN: There are two major
```

- 2 developments planned --
- MR. McCORMICK: Okay.
- 4 MS. ROMAN: -- within the corridor
- 5 that are not receiving TIF money --
- 6 MR. McCORMICK: No.
- 7 MS. ROMAN: -- but expect to get
- 8 incremental flow from those --
- 9 MR. McCORMICK: The incremental flow
- 10 from that.
- MS. ROMAN: -- program.
- MR. McCORMICK: Okay.
- MS. Harder: Are those the
- 14 manufacturing projects?
- MS. ROMAN: Actually one project is
- 16 a residential project --
- MS. HARDER: Is that market rate,
- 18 or?
- MS. ROMAN: It's market rate.
- MR. REESE: Any further questions
- 21 from anyone?
- MS. TROTTER: So the planned land
- use is going to be the same. That's not
- 24 changing?

```
1 MS. ROMAN: There are no land use
```

- 2 changes, no acquisition map.
- MS. TROTTER: How many businesses
- 4 are in this area, manufacturing?
- MS. ROMAN: I think, Ernest, that --
- 6 MR. SAWYER: Right, yes.
- 7 MS. TROTTER: The rail line here, is
- 8 that a Metra line?
- 9 MS. ROMAN: It is.
- MR. SAWYER: Yes.
- MS. ROMAN: -- it's adjacent,
- 12 apparently and I think the stop is here -- so
- there's a possibility we could actually use
- some TIF funds to upgrade that station as
- well. It's not, the station isn't in the TIF
- 16 -- adjacent to the TIF.
- MR. REESE: Okay. If there are no
- 18 further questions, I'll entertain a motion
- 19 that the Joint Review Board finds the
- 20 proposed Elston/Armstrong Tax Increment
- 21 Financing Redevelopment project area
- 22 satisfies the Redevelopment Plan
- Requirements under the TIF Act, that the
- 24 Eligibility Criteria defined in Section 11-

```
1
      74.4-3 of the TIF Act, and the Objectives of
      the TIF Act, and that based on such findings
 2
 3
      approve such proposed plan under the TIF Act.
                                So moved.
 4
              MR. McCORMICK:
 5
              MR. REESE:
                            Is there a second?
 6
              MR. SMITH:
                            Second.
 7
              MR. REESE:
                            All in favor?
 8
                   (Chorus of ayes.)
 9
              MR. REESE:
                            Let the record reflect
      the Joint Review Board's approval of the
10
11
      proposed Elston/Armstrong Tax Increment
12
      Financing Redevelopment project area under
13
      the TIF Act, and move to adjourn this
14
      meeting.
              MR. McCORMICK:
15
                                So moved.
16
              MR. REESE:
                           Second? All in favor?
17
                   (Whereupon the meeting was
18
                  adjourned at 11:00 a.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
```

STATE OF ILLINOIS )

COUNTY OF C O O K )

I, JACK ARTSTEIN depose and say that I am a verbatim reporter doing business in the County of Cook and City of Chicago; that I caused to be transcribed the proceedings heretofore identified and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the atoresaid hearing.

JACK ARTSTEIN

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO

NOTARY PUBLIC

OFFICIAL SEAL
RONALD N. LEGRAND, JR.
Notary Public - State of Illinois
My Commission Expires Oct 03, 2010

(8) DOCUMENTS RELATING TO OBLIGATIONS ISSUED BY THE MUNICIPALITY - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(A)

During 2007, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

#### (9) ANALYSIS OF DEBT SERVICE - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(8)(B)

During 2007, there were no obligations issued for the Project Area.

#### (10) CERTIFIED AUDIT REPORTS - 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d)(9)

During 2007, there were no tax increment expenditures or cumulative deposits over \$100,000 within the Project Area. Therefore, no compliance statement was prepared.

#### (11) GENERAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP

The Elston/Armstrong Industrial Corridor Redevelopment Project Area is generally bounded by Ardmore Avenue on the north; Laramie Avenue and railroad tracks on the east; Foster Avenue on the south; and LeClair Avenue and Elston Avenue on the west. The map below illustrates the location and general boundaries of the Project Area. For precise boundaries, please consult the legal description in the Redevelopment Plan.

